

## APPENDIX B: STRATEGIC CIL BID SCORING CRITERIA

Applicant	
Project location	
Project description	
Amount of CIL funding requested	
Total project cost	

### Mandatory Requirements

For a project to be scored against the scoring criteria all mandatory requirements must be satisfied:

- The application form must be completed satisfactorily.
- The organisation must be capable of carrying out the proposed project\*.  
\*If landowner consent is required to deliver the project, this must be gained and evidenced as part of the bid application.
- The project must meet the terms of the CIL Regulations 2010, as amended:
  - The project must be clearly defined as ‘infrastructure’; as per the Planning Act 2008 (as amended by CIL reg 63 (4)).
  - Funding must be for the provision, improvement, replacement, operation, or maintenance of infrastructure to support the development of the area.
  - The levy can be used to increase the capacity of existing infrastructure or to repair failing existing infrastructure, if that is necessary to support development.

### Scoring Criteria

If a project fulfils the mandatory requirements MBC Officers will assess it against the following scoring criteria:

#### Delivering Growth (45)

1) Will the project contribute towards the delivery of the adopted/emerging Local Plan?

Strong link to the delivery of the Local Plan (15)	Some link to the delivery of the Local Plan (10)	Very little direct delivery of the Local Plan (5)	No contribution to delivery of the Local Plan (0)
---	---	--	--

2) What is the status of the project in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP)?

Critical (20)	Essential (15)	Desirable (10)	Other (5)	Not in the IDP (0)
---------------	----------------	----------------	-----------	--------------------

<b>3) Does the project link to the Maidstone Borough Council Corporate Strategy?</b>				
<b>Strong link to Council priorities (10)</b>		<b>Some link to Council priorities (5)</b>		<b>No link to Council priorities (0)</b>
<b>Environment (10)</b>				
<b>4) Does the project support the aims and targets of the Council's Biodiversity Climate Change Strategy?</b>				
<b>Strong support (10)</b>		<b>Some support (5)</b>		<b>No/limited support (0)</b>
<b>Community Support (30)</b>				
<b>5) Is there evidence of a public benefit of the project?</b>				
<b>Evidence of local and wider public benefit (10)</b>		<b>Evidence of local public benefit (5)</b>		<b>No/limited evidence of public benefit (0)</b>
<b>6) Is there evidence that the local community support the project?</b>				
<b>Significant evidence of community support (20)</b>		<b>Some evidence of community support (10)</b>		<b>No/limited evidence of community support (0)</b>
<b>Project Cost (25)</b>				
<b>7) Is the project value for money (VfM)? (Considering comparison of quotes provided, costs against benchmark costs, potential benefits and outcomes for the borough, alternative funding sources available and the need for CIL, the added value which CIL could bring to the scheme.)</b>				
<b>Excellent evidence that all aspects of the project are VfM (10)</b>	<b>Good evidence that most aspects of the project are VfM (7)</b>	<b>Some evidence that aspects of the project are VfM (5)</b>	<b>Limited evidence that the project is VfM (3)</b>	<b>Evidence does not demonstrate project is VfM/Unknown (0)</b>

<b>8) Does the project have or unlock additional funding from other sources (e.g., grants or match-funding)?</b>				
<b>Over 75% funding from other sources (10)</b>	<b>50-74% funding from other sources (7)</b>	<b>25-49% funding from other sources (5)</b>	<b>Up to 25% funding from other sources (3)</b>	<b>No funding from other sources / Unknown (0)</b>
<b>9) If the project has or unlocks funding from other sources, what is the status of this funding?</b>				
<b>Subject to CIL, funding secured/approved (5)</b>	<b>Alternative funding not currently applied for/secured/approved (3)</b>		<b>No funding from other sources/ Unknown (0)</b>	
<b>Deliverability (35)</b>				
<b>10) What evidence is there to suggest the project is deliverable? (Consider feasibility; if planning permission would be required; what type of bid is the project, e.g. feasibility, preliminary works, or project; is there a project plan which includes timetabling and resources; what measures have been explored to minimise the risk of the project not being delivered.)</b>				
<b>Strong evidence supporting deliverability of the project (15)</b>	<b>Good evidence supporting deliverability of the project (10)</b>	<b>Some evidence supporting deliverability of the project (5)</b>	<b>No/limited evidence supporting deliverability of the project (0)</b>	
<b>11) What is the delivery timescale for the project?</b>				
<b>Immediate (10)</b>	<b>Up to 5 years (6)</b>	<b>5-10 years (3)</b>	<b>More than 10 years / Unknown (0)</b>	
<b>12) Have details been given as to how on-going maintenance will be provided for and the identification of the responsible party for the maintenance?</b>				
<b>Evidence of provider and cost for maintenance (10)</b>	<b>Evidence of provider but no cost for maintenance; <i>or</i> no evidence of provider but cost for maintenance (5)</b>		<b>No/limited evidence of provider or cost for maintenance (0)</b>	
<b>Project Score</b>	<b>/145</b>			